If your answer to Question 10 for any Plaintiff is "Yes," then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Question 11:

Barbee Revocable

What sum of money, if any, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Plaintiffs for their damages, if any, that were proximately caused by such conduct?

Consider the following elements of damages, if any, and none other.

Answer separately in dollars and cents, if any, for each of the following:

- a. The Plaintiffs economic damages.
- b. The Plaintiffs mental anguish, if any, sustained in the past.

You are instructed that each Plaintiff's economic damages are the amount that Plaintiff invested and lost, if any.

You are instructed that you may not consider amounts a Plaintiff invested and lost based on conduct that occurred before June 26, 1994, unless, with regards to such amounts, the Plaintiff did not know and should not have known until after June 26, 1994 of facts that in the exercise of reasonable diligence would have led to the discovery of the wrongful act.

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Do not increase or reduce the amount in any answers to an issue because of your answers to any other issues about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment. Do not add any amount for interest on damages, if any.

Do not include in your answer any amount that	at you find a Plaintiff could have avoided by
the exercise of reasonable care.	JOE - NO VOTE
ANOMED IN DOLL AND AND CENTER FOR FAC	THE THE FOLLOWING

ANSWER IN DOLLARS AND CENTS FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING.			

Living Trust	Economic Damages 16, 473. Mental Anguish
Ray Bissell	Economic Damages 229, 548. Mental Anguish
Diana Boyd	Economic Damages 102, 849,00 Mental Anguish
Dorothy Claunch	Economic Damages 7,000, Mental Anguish O
Clarence Davis	Economic Damages 2, 161, Mental Anguish O
Hercules Echols	Economic Damages 37, 945, Mental Anguish
Gary Farish	Economic Damages 94, 737. Mental Anguish
Martin Hardin	Economic Damages 180,685. Mental Anguish
Patsy Hardin	Economic Damages 24, 121. Mental Anguish
Willie Harris, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Bennie Harris	Economic Damages 35,013. Mental Anguish
James E. Hill	Economic Damages 39, 524. Mental Anguish
Walter Kaufmann	Economic Damages 23,587. Mental Anguish
Glenda Evatt Kaufmann	Economic Damages Mental Anguish
Bill Keith	Economic DamagesMental Anguish
Galya Keith	Economic Damages Mental Anguish
Essie Lacy, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Swarn Lacy, Jr.	Economic Damages 138, 528. Mental Anguish O
Jack R. Mann	Economic Damages 46,852. Mental Anguish
Manuel Marin	Economic Damages 5 1, 785. Mental Anguish
Arthur McDonald	Economic Damages 86,669. Mental Anguish

Marjorie McDonald	Economic Damages 5/, 7/0. Mental Anguish
W. C. McGee	Economic Damages 97, 941. Mental Anguish
Don Mobley	Economic Damages Mental Anguish O
Mary K. Parham	Economic Damages 14,892, 60 Mental Anguish
Buck Pigg	Economic Damages 99, 239. Mental Anguish
Joann Russell	Economic Damages 127, 255, Mental Anguish
Camille Sanders, Individually and as Independent Executrix of the Estate of Fred Sanders	Economic Damages 106, 844. Mental Anguish
Norman G. Watson	Economic Damages 179, 987. Mental Anguish
Joe D. Willcox, Jr., Individually and as Independent Executor of the Estate of Kenneth R. Willcox	Economic Damages 51, 288. Mental Anguish
	- Wientar Anguisn

If you have answered Question 10 "YES" as to any Plaintiff, answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Question 12:

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that the harm to each of the following Plaintiffs resulted from malice of Sterling Trust Company, if any?

"Clear and convincing evidence" means the measure of the degree of proof that produces a firm belief or conviction of the truth of the allegations sought to be established.

"Malice" means:

- (a) a specific intent by Sterling Trust Company to cause substantial injury to the following Plaintiffs; or
- (b) an act or omission by Sterling Trust Company,
 - (i) which, when viewed objectively from the standpoint of Sterling
 Trust Company at the time of its occurrence, involved an extreme
 degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the
 potential harm to others; and
 - (ii) of which Sterling Trust Company had actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved, but nevertheless, proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of others.

You may find malice based on the acts of a principal or its agent. However, the principal is only bound, for the purposes of malice, by the acts of an agent if:

- (a) the principal authorized the doing and the manner of the act, or
- (b) the agent was unfit and the principal was reckless in employing him, or
- (c) the principal or manager of the principal ratified or approved the act.

ANSWER "YES" OR "NO" AS TO EAC	CH PLAINTIFF:	SHANNON AND NO VOTE
Roderick Adderley	<u> Yes</u>	JOE NO VOTE
Jim Allison	Ves	
Hilda Banta	Vex	

Question 13:

Did the following Defendants commit fraud against the following plaintiffs?

Fraud occurs when-

- a. A Defendant conceals or fails to disclose a material fact within the knowledge of that Defendant,
- b. the Defendant knows that the Plaintiff is ignorant of the fact and does not have an equal opportunity to discover the truth,
- c. the Defendant intends to induce the Plaintiff to take some action by concealing or failing to disclose the fact, and
- d. the Plaintiff suffers injury as a result of acting without knowledge of the undisclosed fact.

For each Plaintiff, answer "YES" or "NO" at to each of the Defendants listed below:

Roderick Adderley	Sterling Trust Company WO Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Y2	Norman Cornelius Yes
Jim Allison	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yaa	Norman Cornelius /20
Hilda Banta	Sterling Trust Company <u>NO</u> Sunpoint Securities, Inc. <u>Vol</u>	Norman Cornelius Ves
Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges Barbee Revocable Living Trust	Sterling Trust Company NO Sunpoint Securities, Inc. V.O.	Norman Cornelius / LD Van Lewis / 20
Ray Bissell	Sterling Trust Company NO Sunpoint Securities, Inc.	Norman Cornelius / L/ Van Lewis / L/
Diana K. Boyd	Sterling Trust Company NO Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Year	Norman Cornelius Van Lewis
Dorothy Claunch	Sterling Trust Company NO	Norman Cornelius (20)

	Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You	Van Lewis
Clarence Davis	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You	Norman Cornelius Vol
Hercules Echols	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Y.O.	Norman Cornelius You
Gary Farish	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius Vos Van Lewis <u>Vos</u>
Martin Hardin	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius /es
Patsy Hardin	Sterling Trust Company NO Sunpoint Securities, Inc.	Norman Cornelius / O/ Van Lewis / O/
Willie Harris, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Bennie Harris	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius / O / Van Lewis / O /
James E. Hill	Sterling Trust Company //o Sunpoint Securities, Inc. / 20	Norman Cornelius Van Lewis
Walter Kaufmann	Sterling Trust Company No. Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You	Norman Cornelius Vol
Glenda Evatt Kaufmann	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius VOA
Bill Keith	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Year Larry Tyler Van Advanced	Norman Cornelius You Van Lewis You Financial Services, Inc.

Galya Keith	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You Larry Tyler You Advanced Fin	Norman Cornelius / O Van Lewis / O ancial Services, Inc. / O
Essie Lacy, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Swarn Lacy, Jr.	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius / Van Lewis / Van Lewis
Jack R. Mann	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You	Norman Cornelius Ves Van Lewis Yes
Manuel Marin	Sterling Trust Company // Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius /e\(\sigma\) Van Lewis / \(\sigma\)
Arthur McDonald	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. Yes	Norman Cornelius Vos
Marjorie McDonald	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You	Norman Cornelius / 00 Van Lewis / 00
W. C. McGee	Sterling Trust Company //o Sunpoint Securities, Inc. //o/)	Norman Cornelius You Van Lewis YUA
Don Mobley	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. YOA	Norman Cornelius Yos Van Lewis Yes
Mary K. Parham	Sterling Trust Company No Sunpoint Securities, Inc. You	Norman Cornelius VOA
Buck Pigg	Sterling Trust Company NO Sunpoint Securities, Inc. VOD	Norman Cornelius VO/) Van Lewis VO/
Joann Russell	Sterling Trust Company No	Norman Cornelius / W

If you found in Question 13 that Norman Cornelius defrauded any Plaintiff, then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Question 14:

When Norman Cornelius committed the conduct that you found in Question 13, was Norman Cornelius acting on behalf of Sterling Trust Company?

INSTRUCTION:

To find that Norman Cornelius was acting on behalf of Sterling Trust Company when he committed the conduct that you found in Question 13, you must find that Norman Cornelius acted with Sterling Trust Company's authority or apparent authority.

Roderick Adderley

Jim Allison

Hilda Banta

Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges
Barbee Revocable Living Trust

Ray Bissell

Diana Boyd

Dorothy Claunch

Clarence Davis

Hercules Echols

ANSWER "YES" OR "NO" AS TO EACH PLAINTIFF:

If you found in Question 13 that any Defendant committed fraud, then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Question 15:

Determine the percentage of responsibility attributable to each of the following persons or entities for the conduct you found in Question 13.

You should only assign percentages to the persons or entities you find caused the harm for which the Plaintiffs seek to recover damages for fraud. The percentages you find must total 100 percent. The percentages must be expressed in whole numbers. The responsibility attributable to any one named below is not necessarily measured by the number of acts or omissions found.

Roderick Adderley	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Jim Allison	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Hilda Banta	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M.	
Bridges Barbee Revocable Living Trust	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Ray Bissell	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Diana K. Boyd	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Dorothy Claunch	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %

Clarence Davis	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Hercules Echols	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Comelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Gary Farish	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Martin Hardin	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Patsy Hardin	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
#	
Willie Harris, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Bennie Harris	Sterling Trust Company 35 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
James E. Hill	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Walter Kaufmann	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Glenda Kaufmann	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 50 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 % Van Lewis 15 %
Bill Keith Larry Tyler	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 30 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 4 % Van Lewis 15 % Advanced Financial Services, Inc. 6 %
	30
Galya Keith	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Norman Cornelius 30 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 4 % Van Lewis 15 %

Larry Tyler_	20 % Advanced Financial	Services, Inc. 6 %
Essie Lacy, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Swarn Lacy, Jr.	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 %	Norman Cornelius 50 %
Jack R. Mann	Sterling Trust Company 25 %	Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis 15 %
Manuel Marin	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 70 %	Norman Cornelius <u>50</u> % Van Lewis <u>15</u> %
Margaret Marin	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 70 %	Norman Cornelius <u>50</u> % Van Lewis <u>15</u> %
Arthur McDonald	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 %	Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis 15 %
Marjorie McDonald		Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis 15 %
W. C. McGee		Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis 15 %
Don Mobley	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 70 %	Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis 15 %
Mary K. Parham	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 70 %	Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis /5 %
Buck Pigg	Sterling Trust Company 25 % Sunpoint Securities, Inc. 10 %	Norman Cornelius 50 % Van Lewis 15 %
Joann Russell	Sterling Trust Company 25 %	Norman Cornelius 50 %

If your answer to Question 13 or Question 14 is "Yes," then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Question 16:

What sum of money, if any, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Plaintiffs for their damages, if any, that were proximately caused by such fraud?

Consider the following elements of damages, if any, and none other.

Answer separately in dollars and cents, if any, for each of the following:

- a. The Plaintiff's economic damages.
- b. The Plaintiff's mental anguish, if any, sustained in the past.

You are instructed that each Plaintiff's economic damages are the amount that Plaintiff invested and lost, if any.

You are instructed that you may not consider amounts a Plaintiff invested and lost based on conduct that occurred before June 26, 1994, unless, with regards to such amounts, the Plaintiff did not know and should not have known until after June 26, 1994 of facts that in the exercise of reasonable diligence would have led to the discovery of the wrongful act.

In answering questions about damages, answer each question separately. Do not increase or reduce the amount in any answers to an issue because of your answers to any other issues about damages. Do not speculate about what any party's ultimate recovery may or may not be. Any recovery will be determined by the court when it applies the law to your answers at the time of judgment. Do not add any amount for interest on damages, if any.

Do not include in your answer any amount that you find a Plaintiff could have avoided by the exercise of reasonable care.

No - Soe + Shawoo

ANSWER IN DOLLARS AND CENTS FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

Roderick Adderley	Economic Damages 75, 837	_Mental Anguish_		_
Jim Allison	Economic Damages 102, 274	_Mental Anguish_	0_	_
Hilda Banta	Economic Damages 190 229	_Mental Anguish_	0	_

Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges Barbee Revocable

Living Trust	Economic Damages 373,805 Mental Anguish
Ray Bissell	Economic Damages 287,557 Mental Anguish 0
Diana Boyd	Economic Damages 139,874 Mental Anguish O
Dorothy Claunch	Economic Damages 7, 000 Mental Anguish
Clarence Davis	Economic Damages 82,16/ Mental Anguish O
Hercules Echols	Economic Damages 37,945 Mental Anguish
Gary Farish	Economic Damages 94737 Mental Anguish
Martin Hardin	Economic Damages 180,685,00 Mental Anguish 0
Patsy Hardin	Economic Damages 24, 121. Mental Anguish
Willie Harris, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Bennie Harris	Economic Damages 43, 429 Mental Anguish
James E. Hill	Economic Damages 55,333 Mental Anguish
Walter Kaufmann	Economic Damages 70, 762 Mental Anguish
Glenda Evatt Kaufmann	Economic DamagesMental Anguish
Bill Keith	Economic Damages 69, 290 Mental Anguish
Galya Keith	Economic Damages Mental Anguish
Essie Lacy, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Swarn Lacy, Jr.	Economic Damages 138,528 Mental Anguish
Jack R. Mann	Economic Damages 46,852. Mental Anguish
Manuel Marin	Economic Damages 51, 785, Mental Anguish
Arthur McDonald	Economic Damages 86,664. Mental Anguish O

Marjorie McDonald	Economic Damages 5/37/0. Mental Anguish O
W. C. McGee	Economic Damages 97,941. Mental Anguish O
Don Mobley	Economic Damages 37, 120. Mental Anguish
Mary K. Parham	Economic Damages 96 051 Mental Anguish O
Buck Pigg	Economic Damages 99,239, Mental Anguish O
Joann Russell	Economic Damages 203, 455 Mental Anguish
Camille Sanders, Individually and as Independent Executrix of the Estate of Fred Sanders	Economic Damages 468, 493. Mental Anguish
Norman G. Watson	Economic Damages 179, 987. Mental Anguish
Joe D. Willcox, Jr., Individually and as Independent Executor of the Estate of Kenneth R. Willcox	Economic Damages 152, 469, Mental Anguish O

If you have answered "YES" to Question 13 or Question 14 as to any Plaintiff, then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Question 17:

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that the harm to the Plaintiffs resulted from fraud, if any, on the part of Sterling Trust Company?

"Clear and convincing evidence" means the measure or degree of proof that produces a firm belief or conviction of the truth of the allegations sought to be established.

You may find fraud based on the acts of a principal or its agent. However, the principal is only bound, for the purposes of fraud, by the acts of an agent if:

- (a) the principal authorized the doing and the manner of the act, or
- (b) the agent was unfit and the principal was reckless in employing him, or
- (c) the principal or manager of the principal ratified or approved the act.

ANSWER "YES" OR "NO" AS TO EACH PLAINTIFF:		
No	-	
No	4	
No	÷	
No	~ e	
No	-	
No	-	
No	_	
	No No No No No No No	

Question 18:

Did Sterling Trust Company secure the execution of a document by deception and was the value of the property affected \$1,500.00 or more?

"Securing the execution of a document by deception" occurs when a person causes another person to sign any document affecting property, and does so by deception, with the intent to defraud or harm any person.

A person acts with intent with respect to the nature of his conduct or to a result of his conduct when it is the conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

"Deception" means creating or confirming by words or conduct a false impression of law or fact his is likely to affect the judgment of another in the transaction, and that the actor does not believe to be true.

"Property" means: (a) real property; (b) tangible or intangible personal property, including anything severed from land; or (c) a document, including money, that represents or embodies anything of value.

You may answer "yes" only if an employee of Sterling Trust secured the execution of such a document by deception and:

- a. Sterling Trust authorized the doing and the manner of such act of an employee, or
- the employee was employed in a managerial capacity and was acting in the scope of employment.

An "employee" is a person in the service of another with the understanding, express or implied, that such other person has the right to direct the details of the work and not merely the result to be accomplished.

Answer "YES" or "NO."

Answer:

Question 19:

Did Sterling Trust Company intentionally misapply fiduciary property in a manner that involved substantial risk of loss to plaintiffs and was the value of the property \$1,500 or greater?

"Misapply" means a person deals with property contrary to an agreement under which the person holds the property .

"Substantial risk of loss" means it is more likely than not that loss will occur.

A person acts with intent with respect to the nature of his conduct or to a result of his conduct when it is the conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

You may answer "yes" only if an employee of Sterling Trust secured the execution of such a document by deception and:

- a. Sterling Trust authorized the doing and the manner of such act of an employee, or
- b. the employee was employed in a managerial capacity and was acting in the scope of employment.

An "employee" is a person in the service of another with the understanding, express or implied, that such other person has the right to direct the details of the work and not merely the result to be accomoplished.

Answer "Yes" or "No."

Answer: No

_		00	
()11	ection	211	۰
Vu	estion	20	

Did the Plaintiffs waive the right to assert claims against Sterling Trust?

INSTRUCTION:

You are instructed that Plaintiffs waived their right to assert their claims against Sterling Trust Company if they intentionally surrendered a known right or engaged in intentional conduct inconsistent with claiming the right.

ANSWER "YES" OR "NO" FOR EACH PLAINTIFF:

Roderick Adderley	No
Jim Allison	_1/0
Vicki Allison	1/0
Hilda Banta	No
Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges Barbee Revocable Living Trust	No
Ray Bissell	No
Barbara Bissell	1/0
Diana K. Boyd	N_0
George Emory Bridges	No
Margaret Maness Bridges, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of J. T. Maness	No

Question 21:

Are the Plaintiffs estopped to assert claims against Sterling Trust?

INSTRUCTION:

You are instructed that a Plaintiff is estopped to assert his or her claims against Sterling Trust if the Plaintiff made a representation to Sterling Trust and Sterling Trust justifiably and reasonably relied on that representation to its detriment to such an extent that it would be unfair to allow Plaintiff to assert his or her claim.

ANSWER "YES" OR "NO" FOR EACH PLAINTIFF:		
Roderick Adderley	1/0	-
Jim Allison	16	-
Vicki Allison	No	3° ∹
Hilda Banta	No	-
Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges Barbee Revocable Living Trust	Np	-
Ray Bissell	No	-1
Barbara Bissell	No	
Diana K. Boyd	No	-
George Emory Bridges	No	-
Margaret Maness Bridges, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of J. T. Maness	No	

E.

After you retire to the jury room, you will select your own presiding juror. The first thing the presiding juror will do is to have this complete charge read aloud and then you will deliberate upon your answers to the questions asked.

It is the duty of the presiding juror-

- 1. to preside during your deliberations,
- 2. to see that your deliberations are conducted in an orderly manner and in accordance with the instructions in this charge,
- to write out and hand to the bailiff any communications concerning the case that you desire to have delivered to the judge,
- 4. to vote on the questions,
- 5. to write your answers to the questions in the spaces provided, and
- 6. to certify to your verdict in the space provided for the presiding juror's signature or to obtain the signatures of all the jurors who agree with the verdict if your verdict is less than unanimous.

You should not discuss the case with anyone, not even with other members of the jury, unless all of you are present and assembled in the jury room. Should anyone attempt to talk to you about the case before the verdict is returned, whether at the courthouse, at your home, or elsewhere, please inform the judge of this fact.

When you have answered all the questions you are required to answer under the instructions of the judge and your presiding juror has placed your answers in the spaces provided and signed the verdict as presiding juror or obtained the signatures, you will inform the bailiff at the door of the jury room that you have reached a verdict, and then you will return into court with your verdict.

UDGE PRESIDING

CERTIFICATE

We, the jury, have answered the above and foregoing questions as herein indicated, and herewith return same into court as our verdict.

(To be signed by the presiding juror if unanimous.)

PRESIDING JUROR

(To be signed by those rendering the verdict if not unanimous.)

ORIGINAL

No. 236-169214-97

Roderick Adderley, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Elsie Westmoreland; Jim and Vicki Allison; Hilda Banta; Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges Barbee Revocable Living Trust; Ray and Barbara Bissell; Diana Boyd; Margaret Maness Bridges, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of J. T. Maness; George Emory Bridges; Dorothy Claunch; Clarence and Iva Davis; Carol Ann and Charles Denson, Sr.; Patsy and Raymond Dixon; Hercules and Ruby Echols; Gary Farish; Martin and Patsy Hardin; Nancy Harris; Willie Harris, Individually and On Behalf of the Estate of Bennie Harris; James E. and Ina Dell Hill; Maxine Jackson; Nancy Kaufmann; Walter and Glenda Kaufmann; Bill and Galya Keith; Essie Lacy, Individually and on behalf of the Estate of Swarn Lacy, Jr.; Joe Langdon; Manuel and Margaret Marin; Gary and Winifred McDermott; Marjorie and Arthur McDonald; W. C. and Rose Mary McGee; H. L. and Janis Merrill; H. L. Merrill & Son Construction Co., Inc.; Don and Edith Mobley; Mary K. Parham; Buck and Martha Pigg; Gene W. Preston, as Independent Executor of the Estate of Gladyce P. Acers; Jaquitta M. Putman; Joann Russell; Camille Sanders, Individually and as Independent Executrix of the Estate of Fred Sanders; Rolland Sanders; Norman G. and Eileen Watson; Donna Whittenton; Joe D. Willcox, Jr., Individually and as Independent Executor of the Estate of Kenneth R. Willcox.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

VS.

Advanced Financial Services, Inc.; Norman Greg Cornelius; Douglas Gilliland; Bobby L. Hoover; Van Lewis, III; Šterling Trust Co.; Sunpoint Securities, Inc.; The Triwest Group, Inc.; Triwest Enterprises, Inc.; and Larry Tyler.

OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

236TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CHARGE OF THE COURT

QUESTION 1:

What sum of money, if any, if paid now in cash, should be assessed against Sterling Trust Company and awarded to each Plaintiff listed below as exemplary damages, if any, for the conduct of Sterling Trust Company you found to be malicious in Question 12 of the Court's Charge from the first phase of the trial?

"Exemplary damages" means an amount that you may in your discretion award as a penalty or by way of punishment.

Factors to consider in awarding exemplary damages, if any, are —

- The nature of the wrong.
- b. The character of the conduct involved.
- c. The degree of culpability of Sterling Trust Company.

 d. The situation and somibility of the company.
- d. The situation and sensibilities of the parties involved.
- e. The extent to which such conduct offends a public sense of justice and f. The net worth of Starling Town G.
- f. The net worth of Sterling Trust Company.

In answering this question, you may consider only the conduct of Sterling Trust Company.

Answer in dollars and cents, if any, for each Plaintiff:

an water and a second a second and a second	any, for each Flaintiff:
Roderick Adderley	\$ 8064 5 0
Jim Allison	\$ 8064 50
Hilda Banta	s 8064.50
Sadye Millie Barbee, Individually and as Trustee of the Sadye M. Bridges Barbee Revocable Living Trust	-500/1
Ray Bissell	s 8064.50
Diana K. Boyd	\$ 8064 50
Dorothy Claunch	\$ 8064 50
Clarence Davis	\$ 8064 50
Hercules Echols	\$ 8064 50
Gary Farish	\$ 8010U 50
Martin Hardin	\$ 8064.50
Patsy Hardin	s 8064,50
0.50	

Willie Harris, Individually and On Behalf of the	
Estate of Bennie Harris	an/ 1150
	s 8067,
James E. Hill	\$ 8064,50
Walter Kaufmann	\$ 8064,50
Glenda Kaufmann	\$ 8064,50
Bill Keith	\$ 8064, 50
Galya Keith	s 8064, 30
Essie Lacy, Individually	
and On Behalf of the	
Estate of Swarn Lacy, Jr.	s 8064, 50
Jack R. Mann	\$ 8064,50
Manuel Marin	\$ 8064, 50
Arthur McDonald	s_8064, 50
Marjorie McDonald	\$ 8064.50
W. C. McGee	s 8064.50
Don Mobley	\$ 8064.50
Mary K. Parham	s_8064, 50
Buck Pigg	s_8064,50
Joann Russell	s_8064,50
Camille Sanders, Individually	
and as Independent Executrix	
of the Estate of Fred Sanders	a 80/U 50
of the Estate of Fred Sanders	s_8064, 50
Norman G. Watson	s 8064. 50
Joe D. Willcox, Jr., Individually	
and as Independent Francisco	
and as Independent Executor of the	s 8064.50
Estate of Kenneth R. Willcox	\$ 8069.°°

You should not discuss the case with anyone, not even with other members of the jury, unless all of you are present and assembled in the jury room. Should anyone attempt to talk to you about the case before the verdict is returned, whether at the courthouse, at your home, or elsewhere, please inform the judge of this fact.

When you have answered all the questions you are required to answer under the instructions of the judge and your presiding juror has placed your answers in the spaces provided and signed the verdict as presiding juror or obtained the signatures, you will inform the bailiff at the door of the jury room that you have reached a verdict, and then you will return into court with your verdict.

JUDGE PRESIDING

CERTIFICATE

We, the jury, have answered the above and foregoing question as herein indicated, and herewith return same into court as our verdict.

(To be signed by the presiding juror if unanimous.)

Melanie Woodard-Lindsey

PRESIDING JUROR

(To be signed by those rendering the verdict if not unanimous.)